

| | |
|--|--|
| 1. DATE - TIME GROUP 8,9 June 65 08/2145Z | 2. LOCATION Turkey |
| 3. SOURCE Military | 10. CONCLUSION ELECTRONIC SIGNALS (RADAR) Insufficient Data (Visual) |
| 4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One Each Time | |
| 5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Not Reported | 11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS See Case: Eight returns at about one hour intervals of blips coming directly toward the radar station and disappearing as they reached the site. Visual observations reported but data not clear as to the time of the sightings. May or may not have occurred in the same direction at the same time. |
| 6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Visual Ground-& Grnd-Radar | |
| 7. COURSE SW | COMMENTS: Radar analysts regard the radar returns as most likely being caused by transmitting signals, NOT regarded as a solid object. Visual portions not clear in message. Insufficient Data. |
| 8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes Radar Overlays <input type="checkbox"/> No (Not Rec'd) | ADD MESSAGE: Visual observations similar to Satellite ECHO I and passed North of location. Not consistent with the radar tracks. |
| 9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | -motion westerly. |

FORM
FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

UNCLASSIFIED

L CITE B0007 21113 JUNE 1965.

WAS TO ACSI/1 HQ USAF, ON 2 JUNE 1965, CHARLES W. KINNEY WAS INFORMED BY GENERAL EILSEN, CHIEF AIR DEFENSE, TAVC, THAT THE ANLATICEL RADAR SITE NEAR ANKARA HAD OBSERVED SOME RADAR RETURNS WITH UNUSUAL CHARACTERISTICS DURING THE NIGHT OF 2-3 JUNE 65. HE STATED THAT THE TRACKS WERE OF EXTREMELY HIGH SPEED AND THAT SOME OF THE PERSONNEL AT THE RADAR SITE HAD VISUALLY SEEN THE OBJECT AS IT PASSED OVER ANKARA. CHARLES W. KINNEY VISITED THE RADAR SITE DURING THE AFTERNOON OF 9 JUNE 65 AND DISCUSSED THESE TRACKS WITH THE CONTROLLER WHO WAS ON DUTY DURING MOST

Classification Cancelled

for changed to

Auth.

By

Date

15 May 69

AFR 205-10 Jan 2-17(6)

Jan 68

DOWNGRADED AT 8 YEAR INTER
DECLASSIFIED AFTER 12 YEAR
DOD DIR 5200.10

OF THE OBSERVATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL AT THE RADAR SITE. THE FOLLOWING IS A SUMMARY OF THE INFORMATION WHICH WAS RECEIVED:

A. TYPE OF RADAR: FPS-38

B. OPERATING FREQUENCY: 1295.7 MC

C. TRACKS ORIGINATED AT SCOPE LIMIT WHICH IS APPROXIMATELY 230 NM AT INTERVALS OF APPROXIMATELY 1 HOUR, HEADING APPROXIMATELY 230 DEGREES AT SPEEDS UP TO 3000 MPH. ON THE SCOPE THE TRACKS APPEARED TO ORIGINATE BETWEEN 000 DEGREES - 090 DEGREES HEADING DIRECTLY IN TOWARD THE STATION. VISUAL SIGHTINGS PASSED NORTH OF ANKARA.

D. THE TRACKS WERE NEVER TRACKED WEST OF THE RADAR SITE ALTHOUGH VISUAL OBSERVATION SHOWED THAT IT CONTINUED IN A WESTERLY DIRECTION.

E. VISUAL DESCRIPTION OF THE OBJECT CAN BE SUMMARIZED AS HAVING THE SAME CHARACTERISTICS AS A VISUAL SIGHTING OF "SC-1".

F. THE ORIGINAL VISUAL SIGHTING WAS REPORTED BY A GUARD WHO HAD NO KNOWLEDGE THAT THE OBJECT WAS BEING TRACKED ON RADAR.

G. THE CHIEF CONTROLLER REQUESTED OTHER RADAR SITES IN TURKEY TO

Classification Can
Auth.
By
Date

UNCLASSIFIED

MENTION RADAR REPORTED THAT THEY SAW THE TRACK ONE TIME.

ALTHOUGH THE CONTROLLER STATED THAT THE TRACK HAD BEEN
OBSERVED 3 TIMES HE HAD OVERLAYS FOR THE FOLLOWING FOUR OBSERVATIONS

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 3 RUQNDH 01

ONLY:

8 JUNE 2141 2145Z

8 JUNE 2238 2242Z

8 JUNE 2339 2344Z

9 JUNE 0655 0700Z

2. REQUEST YOU CORRELATE THESE OBSERVATIONS WITH KNOWN ORBITING
OBJECTS TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT VISUAL SIGHTINGS WERE LEGITIMATE
AND IN AN EFFORT TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE RADAR WAS TRACKING AN
OBJECT, RECEIVING A TRANSMITTED SIGNAL OR JUST MALFUNCTIONING. ANY

INFORMATION RELEASABLE TO TAF WHICH MIGHT EXPLAIN THIS
PHENOMENON WILL BE APPRECIATED.

BT

UNCLASSIFIED

DOWNLOADED AT 12 12 12
INTEREST NOT RECORDED
DECLASSIFIED DOW DIB 520010

MMNNWFD8100500167ZCPYA055

NO RUQNDH

DE RUQNDH 01 11/1205Z

R 111129Z JUN 65

FM DCCOF ALKARA TURKEY

TO RUEANCA/2 USAF WASHINGTON DC

UNCLASSIFIED

CCN-191

12C

7/298
M/R: Maj Groening contacted Sgt Moody stating that FTD had been directed to reply on this message. Wanted to know if this was the proper office. Agreed that it was. Sgt Moody contacted Mr Bryant (TDEED) regarding the radar portion. He says it could not be a/c, report indicates that returns could be caused by the transmitting ~~single~~ signal as indicated in paragraph two of the message. Did not think it was a malfunction due to the fact that eight returns were received. If a device would have triggered the information on such a device, if known to exist, would be obtainable from Mr Gould, ext 72131. Mr Bryant feels that a solid object is not the cause of the returns.

The visual portion of the sighting - No conclusions can be reached as to whether the visual observations coincide with radar returns. Sattlites are ruled out if the visual objects are consistant with the radar returns, that is if the heading is towards 230° (Southwesterly) Aircraft are also ruled out if the reported speeds are correct. In order for an evaluation of the visual sightings, additional data would be required.

Mr. Zabetakis TDCAM

MR ZABETAKIS HAS REQUESTED MORE INFORMATION, 16 JUN 65